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## Introduction:

Freeform curves, such as Bézier curves and B-spline curves, possess numerous desirable properties and are widely used in various applications. In [11], Yoshida et al. introduced a real-time method for visualizing the curvature monotonicity regions of polynomial curves. Using the method, users can know the region of a control point for achieving monotonically varying curvature. In our current study, we theoretically investigate the curvature monotonicity regions of 2D polynomial Bézier curves, relying on the established sufficient condition. Leveraging GPU technology, we propose a real-time approach for visualizing the sufficient regions, including the implicit algebraic curves that constitute the sufficient region. The theoretical investigation allows us to provide a partial explanation for the curvature monotonicity regions.

## Related work:

Numerous works have addressed the generation of freeform curves with monotonically varying curvature. The theoretical foundation for curvature monotonicity regions has been established for quadratic Bézier curves [7] and quadratic rational Bézier curves [2], elucidating both the necessary and sufficient conditions. However, for cubic or higher-degree curves, several methods have been proposed to identify the sufficient conditions. These methods include Pythagorean hodograph quintic spirals [10], Mineur's typical curves [3], 2D class A Bézier curves [1, 4] and 3D class A Bézier curves [8, 9].

Real-time visualization methods of the curvature monotonicity regions are introduced for 2 D polynomial curves in [11] and for 2D rational Bézier curves in [5]. In this paper, we investigate the curvature monotonicity region of polynomial curves based on the sufficient condition.

Curvature Monotonicity Evaluation Functions:
A polynomial Bézier curve $\mathbf{P}(t)$ of degree $n$ with $n+1$ control points $\mathbf{P}_{j}=\left[x_{i} y_{i}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}(0 \leq j \leq n)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{n} B_{j}^{n}(t) \mathbf{P}_{j} \quad(t \in[0,1]), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $B_{j}^{n}(t)$ is a Bernstein polynomial.


Fig. 1: Cubic Bézier curve, curvature plot and $\lambda(t)$.

Curvature monotonicity can be verified by checking whether $\frac{d \kappa}{d s}$ does not change its sign within $t \in[0,1]$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \kappa}{d s}=\frac{(\dot{\mathbf{P}} \wedge \dddot{\mathbf{P}})(\dot{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{P}})-3(\dot{\mathbf{P}} \wedge \ddot{\mathbf{P}})(\dot{\mathbf{P}} \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{P}})}{|\dot{\mathbf{P}}|^{6}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{\mathbf{P}}, \ddot{\mathbf{P}}$, or $\ddot{\mathbf{P}}$ represents the first, second or third derivative of $\mathbf{P}$ with respect to $t$. As described in [11, 5], curvature monotonicity can be verified by the numerator of $\frac{d \kappa}{d s}$, which can be represented as a Bernstein polynomial of degree $4 n-7$ for 2D polynomial curves:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{4 n-7} B_{i}^{4 n-7}(t) \xi_{i} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By utilizing the Bernstein form equation for the numerator of $\frac{d \kappa}{d s}$ as described in [5], a single fragment shader code can be used for Bézier curves of degree $n$. In the fragment shader, only degree-specific parts are dynamically replaced within the application program. If we opt not to use the equation from [5], we would need to generate code for Bézier curves of each degree by simplifying $\xi_{i}$, for example, using 'FullSimply' function in Mathemtica.

Theoretical curvature monotonicity region based on the sufficient condition:
For a Bézier curve, if $\lambda(t) \geq 0$ or $\lambda(t) \leq 0$ within $t \in\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]$, the curvature is monotonically varying. Note that $\xi_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ may have different signs even if the curvature is monotonically varying. As an example, Fig. 1 shows a cubic Bézier curve, its curvature plot and $\lambda(t) . \xi_{i}$ s are scaled so that they are $\left|\xi_{i}\right| \leq 1$. Although the signs of $\xi_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ are different, $\lambda(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in[01]$.

To simplify the situation, we investigate these regions based on the sufficient condition, which we refer to as the "sufficient region". The sufficient region is defined by $\xi_{i} \leq 0$ for curves with monotonically decreasing curvature or $\xi_{i} \geq 0$ for curves with monotonically decreasing curvature, where $0 \leq i \leq 4 n-7$. Concerning the sufficient region of a control point $\mathbf{P}_{j}(0 \leq j \leq n)$, it is the intersection of all $\xi_{i} \geq 0$ (or $\xi_{i} \leq 0$ ) with $\mathbf{P}_{j}$ representing a variable associated with $\xi_{i}$. Note that the region may have multiple areas.

The visualization of $\xi_{i}$ is performed by using a GPU. To visualize the region of $\xi_{i}$ for $\mathbf{P}_{j}$, we compute the value of $\xi_{i}$ in the fragment shader by replacing the coordinate of $\mathbf{P}_{j}$ with the coordinates corresponding to each pixel in a window. When we visualize $\xi_{i}$ for decreasing curvature, the corresponding pixel is painted with a user-specified color if $\xi_{i}<0$. Otherwise, the pixel remains white. When we visualize $\xi_{i}$ for increasing curvature, the corresponding pixel is painted if $\xi_{i}>0$. By repeating the computation of $\xi_{i}$ by $4 n-7$ times and appropriately synthesizing the colors, we can simultaneously visualize all $\xi_{i}$ s. To
show the boundary of $\xi_{i}$ using anti-aliasing as in Fig. 2, the values of $\xi_{i}$ at surrounding 8 pixels are also computed.

As an example, we demonstrate a 2D polynomial cubic Bézier curve with $\mathbf{P}_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{P}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}10\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$, $\mathbf{P}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}3 & 1\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}, \mathbf{P}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}4 & 5\end{array}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$. Fig. 2 illustrates the curvature monotonicity region for each control point, along with the control polygon and the curve. In the theoretical regions, regions with $\xi_{i} \geq 0$ are colored while the regions $\xi_{i}<0$ remain white. Therefore, the theoretical sufficient regions are colored with white. For each control point $\mathbf{P}_{j}, \xi_{i}=0$ is an implicit curve of $x_{j}$ and $y_{j}$. The sufficient regions and the exact region where $\lambda(t) \leq 0$ or $\lambda(t) \geq 0$ are computed using the method proposed in [11]. Note that the theoretical sufficient regions are identical to the sufficient regions. Fig. 3 shows each $\xi_{i}$ for $\mathbf{P}_{j}$.


Fig. 2: Curvature monotonicity regions for each control point.
In the theoretical region of $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ in Fig. 2(a1), $\xi_{0}=0$ and $\xi_{1}=0$ represent implicit cubic curves. $\xi_{2}=0$ and $\xi_{3}=0$ are implicit quadratic curves. In this case, $\xi_{2}=0$ forms an ellipse and $\xi_{3}=0$ represents a hyperbola. $\xi_{4}=0$ and $\xi_{5}=0$ are both lines. $\xi_{0}=0, \xi_{1}=0$, and $\xi_{2}=0$ intersect at $\mathbf{P}_{1}$. Note that $\xi_{4}=0$ intersects with $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ in this specific case, but not necessarily in a general context. Upon careful examination of the theoretical region, it becomes evident the boundary is defined by $\xi_{0}=0$ and $\xi_{1}=0$.

In the theoretical region of $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ in Fig. 2(b1), $\xi_{i}=0(0 \leq i \leq 4)$ represent implicit cubic curves. $\xi_{5}=0$ is an implicit quadratic curve, which takes the form of a hyperbola in this context. $\xi_{0}=0, \xi_{1}=0$ and $\xi_{2}=0$ intersect at $\mathbf{P}_{0}$. The boundary of the theoretical region is defined by $\xi_{0}=0$ and $\xi_{4}=0$.

In the theoretical region of $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ in Fig. 2(c1), $\xi_{0}=0$ represents an implicit quadratic curve, which takes the form of a hyperbola in this context. $\xi_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 5)$ represent implicit cubic curves. $\xi_{3}, \xi_{4}$ and $\xi_{5}$ intersect at $\mathbf{P}_{3}$. The boundary of the theoretical region is defined by $\xi_{0}=0, \xi_{2}=0, \xi_{3}=0, \xi_{4}=0$ and $\xi_{5}=0$. In the theoretical region of $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ in Fig. 2(d1), $\xi_{0}=0$ and $\xi_{1}=0$ are lines. $\xi_{2}=0$ and $\xi_{3}=0$


Fig. 3: $\xi_{i}$ s for $\mathbf{P}_{0}, \mathbf{P}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{2}$, and $\mathbf{P}_{3}$.
are quadratic implicit curves, taking the form of hyperbolas in this case. $\xi_{4}=0$ and $\xi_{5}=0$ are implicit cubic curves. $\xi_{3}=0, \xi_{4}=0$ and $\xi_{5}=0$ go through $P_{2}$. The boundary of the theoretical region is defined by all $\xi_{i}$ s.

Table 1 shows the general characteristics of $\xi_{i}$ s of 2 D cubic Bézier curves. For example, $\xi_{0}$ is an implicit cubic with respect to $x_{0}$ (or $y_{0}$ ) and goes through $\mathbf{P}_{1}$. These characteristics are verified using Mathematica and do not depend on the position of control points, except in degenerate cases.

If $\xi_{0}=0$ or $\xi_{5}=0$ serves as a boundary for the theoretical region, it also forms the boundary of the exact region. In Fig. 2(a1), $\xi_{0}=0$ occupies most of the boundary of the exact region. In Fig. 2(d1), $\xi_{0}=0$ from point a to point b , and $\xi_{5}=0$ from point b to point c , coincide with the boundary of the exact region.

In our analysis, we examined theoretical curvature monotonicity regions based on the sufficient conditions. This allows us to provide a partial explanation for the curvature monotonicity regions, especially when the sizes between the exact regions and the sufficient regions are similar, as depicted in Fig. 2.
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Table 1: Characteristics of $\xi_{i}$ of 2D cubic Bézier curves.

|  | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\xi_{0}$ | $\xi_{1}$ | $\xi_{2}$ | $\xi_{3}$ | $\xi_{4}$ | $\xi_{5}$ | $\xi_{0}$ | $\xi_{1}$ | $\xi_{2}$ | $\xi_{3}$ | $\xi_{4}$ | $\xi_{5}$ |
| degree | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| goes thrh | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{1}$ | - | - | - | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ | - | - | - |
|  | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\xi_{0}$ | $\xi_{1}$ | $\xi_{2}$ | $\xi_{3}$ | $\xi_{4}$ | $\xi_{5}$ | $\xi_{0}$ | $\xi_{1}$ | $\xi_{2}$ | $\xi_{3}$ | $\xi_{4}$ | $\xi_{5}$ |
| degree | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | , | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| goes thrh | - | - | - | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{3}$ | - | - | - | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{P}_{2}$ |

## Conclusions:

We analyzed the curvature monotonicity regions of 2D polynomial Bézier curves based on the sufficient condition. Although we showed theoretical sufficient regions of a cubic Bézier curve, our program can handle higher degree curves. With the use of a GPU, we can interactively move a control point and can show the sufficient region with all implicit curves $\left(\xi_{i} s\right)$ in real time. We are currently extending the idea to rational curves and 3D curves.
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